California's Children and Youth Performance Outcome System Protocols and Answers to Frequently Asked Questions

Version Date: January 2001

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION2			
SYSTEM	DESIGN QUESTIONS	. 6	
 Ho 	W WAS THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME SYSTEM DESIGNED?	. 6	
TARGET	POPULATION ISSUES AND QUESTIONS	.8	
• Wi	HO IS THE TARGET POPULATION FOR THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME		
TR	HAT IF I HAVE A CLIENT WHO HAS BEEN PART OF THE TARGET POPULATION HAS BEEN ANSITIONED TO MEDICATIONS ONLY STATUS?		
RE	HAT IF A CLIENT WHO WAS DISCHARGED TO MEDICATIONS ONLY HAS DECOMPENSATED AND NOW QUIRES ADDITIONAL COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES?		
PE	HY WERE MEDICATIONS ONLY CLIENTS EXEMPTED FROM THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH RFORMANCE OUTCOME SYSTEM?		
• Mu	HAT IF A TARGET POPULATION CLIENT IS BEING TREATED OUT-OF-COUNTY?		
• WI	A CLIENT HAS BEEN ADMITTED TO COUNTY SERVICES, WHEN DO THE 60 DAYS BEGIN DURING HICH THE INSTRUMENTS ARE TO BE ADMINISTERED?HAT DO I DO IF I AM NOT SURE WHETHER OR NOT A PERSON IS PART OF THE TARGET POPULATION?	?	
	MENT ADMINISTRATION SCHEDULE AND PROTOCOLS		
	W FREQUENTLY ARE THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS TO BE		
	ACTLY WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "INTAKE"?	10	
EA	E ALL OF THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS ADMINISTERED CH TIME?		
Do	HAT ABOUT CLIENTS WHO ARE CURRENTLY BEING SEEN IN OUR COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM OI HAVE TO ADMINISTER THE INSTRUMENTS TO ALL OF THEM IMMEDIATELY?		
MC	DES THE ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS HAVE TO TAKE PLACE EXACTLY 12 DNTHS AFTER THE INTAKE SET WAS ADMINISTERED?		
OF	N THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS BE ADMINISTERED MORE TEN THAN ANNUALLY?	12	
• WI	HO ADMINISTERS THE PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS?		
• IF A	EAKING CLIENTS?		
	HAT IF A CLIENT FORMALLY DISCHARGES FROM COUNTY SERVICES AND IS READMITTED?		
• WI (TI	HAT IS THE POLICY REGARDING ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS BY INDIVDUAL PROVIDER HE FORMER -FEE-FOR-SERVICES PROVIDERS)?	s 14	
	HAT DATE DO YOU BASE THE ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION ON?		
CLII	IAT IF AN ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS HAS BEEN RECENTLY COMPLETED FOR ENT WHO IS NOW BEING DISCHARGED?	14	
A C	IAT IF A DISCHARGE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS HAS BEEN RECENTLY COMPLETED FOLIENT WHO IS NOW UNDERGOING ANOTHER INTAKE EPISODE?	15	

A CLIENT IS BEING TREATED BY INTERAGENCY/MULTIPLE TREATMENT PROGRAMS WITHIN A				
WHAT OPTIONS DOES A COUNTY HAVE REGARDING ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS?				
IF A SCHOOL HAS PREVIOUSLY ANY OF THE REQUIRED PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS, I THE GOLD THE LANGE OF THE PROPERTY OF				
THE COUNTY HAVE TO READMINISTER THE INSTRUMENTS? WHAT IS THE POLICY REGARDING ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS FOR CLIENTS SENT TO				
WHAT IS THE POLICY REGARDING ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS FOR CLIENTS SENT TO JUVENILE HALL?				
WHAT IS THE POLICY REGARDING ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS FOR CLIENTS IN GROU				
HOMES OR FOSTER HOME CARE?				
WHAT IS THE POLICY REGARDING ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTRUMENTS TO TRANSITION AGE T (18-21)?				
BILLING FOR INSTRUMENT ADMINISTRATION, SCORING AND INTERPRETATION	ON OF THE INSTRUMENTS?			
IS THE TIME I SPEND ADMINISTERING AND SCORING THE PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS BILLABLE?				
PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES AND THE COMMUNITY FUNCTIONING EVALUATION (
DO THE CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS TAKE THE PLACE OF THE				
COMMUNITY FUNCTIONING EVALUATION (CFE)?				
WHAT IF A CLIENT FAILS TO COMPLETE THE ENTIRE SET OF INSTRUMENTS?				
CONFIDENTIALITY PROCEDURES AND ISSUES	19			
WHAT KIND OF DISCLOSURE SHOULD BE PROVIDED TO THE CLIENT REGARDING THE PERFORMAN				
OUTCOME INFORMATION?				
IF A CLIENT EXPRESSES CONCERN ABOUT CONFIDENTIALITY, WHAT SHOULD I TELL THEM?				
WHY IS THE CLIENT'S SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER NEEDED?				
WHAT IF A CLIENT REFUSES TO COMPLETE THE CHILD AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME INSTRUMENTS?	21			
WHAT SHOULD THEY BE TOLD ABOUT THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THEIR RESPONSES ON THE CSQ-				
HOW THEIR RESPONSES WILL BE USED?				
WHY IS DMH COLLECTING THE CLIENT'S CASE NUMBER ON THE CSQ-8?	22			
• I AM FROM A SMALL COUNTY WITH VERY FEW CLIENTS. EVEN IF I PROVIDE A CLINICIAN WITH				
AGGREGATE SCORES ON THE CSQ-8 FOR HIS OR HER CLIENTS, THE CLINICIAN WILL PROBABLY I				
ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS. HOW SHOULD I HANDLE THIS? • CAN YOU DESCRIBE SOME OF THE ADMINISTRATION PROCEDURES THAT COUNTIES HAVE USED TO				
ADMINISTER THE CSQ-8 SO THAT CLIENT CONFIDENTIALITY IS ENSURED?				
	22			
 Services Provided in a Clinic Services Provided in the Home 				
	24			
ISSUES FOR SMALL COUNTIES REPORTING DATA THROUGH DMH'S TELEFORM	25			
SYSTEM				
WHAT COUNTIES ARE ELIGIBLE FOR USING THE TELEFORM, FAX-BASED SYSTEM TO REPORT THI				
PERFORMANCE OUTCOME DATA?				
 HOW DOES THE DMH TELEFORM SYSTEM WORK? ARE THERE ANY SPECIAL THINGS I NEED TO DO IF WE ARE GOING TO USE THE DMH TELEFORM 				
SYSTEM?				
REPORTING PERFORMANCE OUTCOME DATA TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH	26			
HOW DOES THE PERFORMANCE OUTCOME DATA GET REPORTED TO THE STATE?	26			
How frequently does the data get reported to DMH?				

•	HOW WILL DMH RELEASE THE DATA?	26
ГЕСІ	HNOLOGY ISSUES	27
•	WHAT TECHNOLOGY SHOULD MY COUNTY INVEST IN TO HANDLE OUR PERFORMANCE OUTCOME	
•	DATA? HAVE ANY ALTERNATIVE SYSTEMS BEEN IDENTIFIED THAT COUNTIES CAN CONSIDER AND HOW CA	
•	FIND OUT MORE ABOUT THEM?	
•	TELEform	27
	IVR	

Introduction

This document is intended to provide general information regarding California's Children and Youth Performance Outcome System as well as provide answers to the most frequently asked questions. Many of the issues, questions, and even answers were gathered from county mental health clinicians, quality managers, and administrators as well as consumers who have received or continue to receive services from county mental health programs.

Individuals who have additional questions are encouraged to send them to the California Department of Mental Health for inclusion in this document. Additionally, those who submit questions are encouraged to suggest possible answers that should be considered in the establishment of policy relating to that issue. Questions, comments, and suggested answers should be submitted, in writing to:

Children and Youth Performance Outcome System Protocols Research and Performance Outcome Development 1600 9th Street, Room 130 Sacramento, CA 95814

Or email them to:

bgollada@dmhhq.state.ca.us

System Design Questions

 How was the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System designed?

The California Mental Health Directors Association (CMHDA), the California Mental Health Planning Council (CMHPC), and the Department of Mental Health (DMH) have collaborated on every step of the process for developing California's mental health performance outcome system.

The central feature of the process was the Performance Outcome Advisory Group (POAG). The POAG was comprised of members drawn from the CMHDA, CMHPC, DMH, direct consumers, family members, and representatives of advocacy groups. The POAG, which was a policy level work group, reviewed recommendations from the Performance Outcome Technical Work Group (POTWG) and made recommendations to DMH for final decision. The POTWG was composed of some members of the POAG as well as other individuals with specific clinical, policy, fiscal or data management expertise. The work group was co-chaired by the DMH, CMHDA, and CMHPC and all interested parties were welcome to attend workgroup meetings. Together, these groups attempted to represent a balanced voice from all of the major constituencies. Their recommendations were presented to the DMH, which, upon considering the issue from the State perspective, made informed policy decisions.

Once the POAG had completed its function (laying the groundwork for the outcomes implementation process), the group was disbanded. The next phase concentrates on quality improvement and integrating outcomes and overall system oversight into a more seamless system. A new task force group comprised of representatives of the CMHDA, CMHPC, DMH, members of mental health boards and commissions, and the community of mental health consumers and family members is addressing this phase of work.

Previous Adult Performance Outcome Efforts.

The first attempt at collecting performance outcome data was based on a custom-designed survey, the Adult Performance Outcome Survey (APOS), developed by DMH in conjunction with county and consumer representatives. This custom survey was designed to be administered to a sample of seriously mentally ill (SMI) adult clients at a beginning time, six months later and then again six months after that. Several issues that emerged during this study included the difficulties of maintaining a representative sample and the lack of comparability of the data. Maintaining a representative sample became increasingly difficult, as clients would drop out of service, move out of the area, or disappear for other reasons. In order to keep the sample representative; county staff had to spend

time looking for these individuals which was time-consuming and not particularly cost-effective. Additionally, since the custom-designed survey was only administered to a sample population, clinicians administering the survey found it to be more of an additional paperwork burden than the collection of data useful for treatment planning. And, since the survey was custom-designed and not a standardized instrument, the data were not comparable to data from other states or entities. Comparability of data is becoming increasingly important in an era of national focus on performance measures.

Criteria for Existing System

Based upon the results from the APOS, the CMHDA, CMHPC, and DMH established several criteria for future studies. These criteria include recommendations that the data should:

- be useful to clinicians for treatment planning;
- be useful to counties for quality management purposes;
- meet the requirements of the state for performance outcome data; and
- allow comparison of California's public mental health programs with those of other states/entities.

Selection of Existing System

Abram Rosenblatt, Ph.D., from the University of California at San Francisco and the contract evaluator for Children's System of Care (SOC) counties was asked to provide his recommendation of what he thought would be a good way to both provide valid outcome data while giving clinicians useful information for use in their treatment planning and service provision. Dr. Rosenbatt, based on his extensive experience with children's systems of care, and in consultation with colleagues in the field of children mental health system, recommended that the State adopt a series of seven assessment instruments. Some of these instruments are intended to be completed by the client, others by the parent or primary caregiver, and one by the clinician. Of the seven instruments, five are considered to be "core" or required, while two are optional but recommended.

The CMHDA reviewed the proposal of Dr. Rosenblatt. After consideration, CMHDA recommended to DMH that the model be adopted as the method for collecting and reporting performance outcome data. The CMHPC agreed to accept the data generated by the recommended model and with the concurrence of all three constituency groups, the model was accepted as the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System.

Usefulness to Clinicians

The data generated by the instruments are intended to provide clinicians with a multi-axial or multi-source method of collecting client-relevant data. This information may be used by the clinician to identify specific target areas that are most affecting the client's life and to select appropriate intervention techniques. Additionally, the clinician can evaluate the outcomes of the services he or she provides either to the same client over time or to specific sub-populations of the clients he or she serves. Typically, the data may be used by the clinicians to both supplement and cross-validate their own clinical judgments.

Target Population Issues and Questions

• Who is the target population for the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System?

Children and youth with a serious and persistent mental illness, ages 4 through 18, who have (or will) receive services for 60 days or longer—excluding "medications only" clients. Medications only clients are those who, even if they have a case manager, are only receiving services relating to maintaining their medications.

• What if I have a client who has been part of the target population and who has been receiving the instruments, but who now has been transitioned to medications only status?

In this case, the client would be "discharged" from our target population and so a final (discharge) set of instruments should be completed. As long as the client remains a "medications only" client, the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments need not be completed for that client unless your county has decided to include them.

 What if a client who was previously a part of the target population and had completed the instruments but who was discharged to medications only has decompensated and now requires additional county mental health services?

If the client requires additional services beyond medication, then he or she has become a part of the Performance Outcome target population. Therefore, at this point the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments must be administered. Since the client had previously received county mental health

services, this is not an intake episode for the purposes of performance outcomes. This should be addressed as an annual/mid-treatment administration.

• Why were medications-only clients exempted from the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System?

Ideally, Performance Outcome Systems are designed to measure change in status as a result of services received. After discussions with the California Mental Health Directors Association, California Mental Health Planning Council, and staff at DMH, it was concluded that, since clients who are properly and appropriately medicated are most likely stable, it does not make sense to include them in a system that is designed to measure change. However, it was agreed that over the next several years this issue will be re-evaluated to find out how many people would be missing from the system and to test the assumption that medications only clients are in fact stable.

• What if a target population client is being treated out-of-county? Must the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments be administered to these individuals?

Yes. Typically, the instruments will be administered in the county where the client is being seen. Later, once the data have arrived at DMH, they will be associated with the client's county of fiscal responsibility. It is recommended that counties work out contractual agreements that specify the roles and responsibilities of each party as they relate to performance outcome data collection and reporting.

• Must the Performance Outcome instruments be administered to inpatient clients (e.g., those being served in IMDs)?

Most clients who are seen within a county on an inpatient basis do not remain in that setting for more than 60 days. Eventually, they are either referred to a state hospital or begin being seen on an outpatient basis. Either way, the final definition that should be used to decide who receives the instruments and who does not is based on whether or not the client receives services for more than 60 days.

• If a client has been admitted to county services on an inpatient basis, when do the 60 days begin during which the instruments are to be administered -- the date of admission to inpatient services or the date the client was discharged to outpatient services?

Ideally, it would be best to administer the instruments as early as possible—even if the client was in an inpatient placement. This is because the county's data would then capture the change data for the client that would include their true level of functioning when they first received county services. This will have the effect of ensuring that the county would be able to fully demonstrate the positive outcomes that are resulting for these clients.

However, administering the instruments in the inpatient setting could be difficult for a variety of reasons. Therefore, for the official State DMH Children and Youth Performance Outcome System standpoint, the 60-day time period is to begin when the client is admitted to the county's outpatient program.

• What do I do if I am not sure whether or not a person is part of the target population and should be administered the Performance Outcome instruments?

It is impossible to develop protocols that deal with every possible specific situation. There are a lot of gray areas. In the end, DMH will compare the data received from counties with estimates of the number of target population clients that DMH records show should be part of the performance outcome system and investigate large discrepancies. The standard is to do the best that you can. Should you have any questions regarding a specific situation, DMH staff is available to help you. For questions relating to the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System, call Brenda Golladay at (916) 654-3291.

Instrument Administration Schedule and Protocols

• How frequently are the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments to be administered?

Essentially, the instruments are administered once each year. They are to be administered at intake, annually thereafter, and at discharge.

• Exactly what do you mean by "Intake"?

For the purposes of the Performance Outcome system, the term "intake" refers to the first 60 days during which the client receives services. This time period is essentially the same as the amount of time that could elapse before a coordinated care plan was to be developed. So, when a client first begins receiving county mental health services the "clock" starts ticking.

The instruments should be completed during the first 60 days of services. An important point should be added here. While it is permissible to wait until the 60th day of service before administering the instruments, this is certainly not the best way to approach it. Our research, as well as anecdotal reports from clinicians, indicates that very frequently the most dramatic changes occur in the client's functioning during the early days of treatment. Therefore, in order to most accurately measure the effect of county mental health services, it makes sense to administer the instruments as early as possible.

• Are all of the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments administered each time?

No. Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8) is not required to be administered at intake. This is because it is assumed that clients have not had enough experience with the program to rate it reliably. The table below identifies when each instrument should be administered.

Schedule of Children and Youth Performance Outcome						
Intake	Instrument Administrat Annual Administration	Discharge (Either from county services or to medications only status)				
Child & Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS)	CAFAS	CAFAS				
Child Behavior Check List (CBCL)	CBCL	CBCL				
Youth Self Report (YSR)	YSR	YSR				
Client Living Environments Profile (CLEP)	CLEP	CLEP				
	Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8)	Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8)				
Family Empowerment Scale (FES)*	FES*	FES*				
Youth Satisfaction Questionnaire (YSQ)*	YSQ*	YSQ*				

^{*} The FES and YSQ are optional and are recommended but not required to be

administered.

• What about clients who are currently being seen in our county mental health system? Do I have to administer the instruments to all of them immediately?

No. For clients who are currently in the system, they should be administered the instruments when they come in for their next annual review.

• Does the annual administration of the instruments have to take place **exactly** 12 months after the intake set was administered?

No. It is assumed that sometimes a client might come in for services slightly before or slightly after the 12th month. Therefore, a window has been identified during which it is assumed that the annual set of instruments will be administered. This window is from 10 to 14 months after either the intake set of instruments was administered or the last annual set of instruments was administered. This should allow sufficient time for a clinician to meet with the client and provide any assistance that is necessary to ensure that the instruments are completed.

• Can the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments be administered more often than annually?

Yes. Some counties have found it useful to administer such instruments more frequently than annually. Counties may administer the instruments as often as they like. However, the State requirement is that they be administered, at a minimum, at intake, annually thereafter, and at discharge. This is because, from the state perspective, the emphasis is being placed on evaluating county "systems" and not individual programs within counties.

Who administers the Performance Outcome instruments?

With the exception of the Child & Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS) and Client Living Environment Profile (CLEP), which are completed by the treating clinician, the other instruments are designed to be self-administered. The Child Behavior Check List (CBCL) and Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8) are completed by the parent/caregiver while the Youth Self Report (YSR) is completed by the child. While some clients can complete any one of these instruments in 20 minutes or less with little or no assistance, some clients will require extensive assistance. This could be due to reading skills or functioning levels. When assistance is required, it may be provided by virtually anyone who has been trained to administer them (e.g., peer counselors, clinicians, clerical staff, etc).

Whenever assistance is provided to a client in order to complete the instruments, certain procedures should be followed. First, the person assisting should not interpret the items on the instruments. Second, the person assisting should not discuss the client's responses in any way that will affect those responses.

 What steps should be followed when administering instruments to non-English speaking clients?

This is a very important question. Part of the answer applies to all efforts to help a client complete the forms. Assistance should be limited to simply reading the questions and marking the client's answers. No effort should be made to interpret the clients' responses. This would have the effect of introducing the clinician's (or other person's) bias into the results.

There are limited non-English translations of our Performance Outcome instruments available for non-English speaking clients. If there is a non-English translation available in the language of your client, it should be used. If the client is not literate in their own language, then a translator would be required to read the questions to the client in their native language and mark the answers on an answer sheet.

The reason for not having a translator translate the instrument "on the fly" to the client is because it is very likely that the instrument will be administered slightly differently each time. This will introduce bias into the data. Additionally, translating instruments so that they are valid and reliable is a very difficult and technical task and should not be entered into lightly. The State DMH will be working with language experts to translate all of our Performance Outcome forms into California's threshold languages beginning with the most common languages. Should you have any questions about available translations, please contact Brenda Golladay, Children and Youth Performance Outcome System at (916) 654-3291.

• If a client completes an annual set of instruments and then discharges shortly afterward, do I need to complete a discharge set?

Current policy in this matter is as follows: "If a client completes an annual set of instruments and discharges within six months of that annual administration, the instruments do not need to be re-administered. The last annual set will serve as the discharge set. On the other hand, if more than six months elapses between the annual administration and the client's discharge, a discharge set should be completed."

• What if a client formally discharges from county services and a discharge set of instruments is completed and then, some time later, is readmitted?

Do the instruments have to be re-administered as an intake set?

The policy at this point is as follows: "If a client completes a set of instruments at discharge and then is readmitted within six months, a new set of instruments does not need to be completed. This does not mean that a county or clinician may not choose to administer the instruments at this point, only that it is not required. If, however, the client is readmitted after more than six months has elapsed, a new set of instruments must be administered for the client."

• What is the policy regarding administration of the instruments by individual providers (the former fee-for-services providers)?

Individual and group providers are exempted from administering performance outcome instruments for children and youth. Thus, performance outcome data will not be collected for children who are only receiving mental health services from an individual or group provider. However, the providers that were Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SD/MC), also known as organizational providers, are required to comply with the requirements and must administer the instruments. The performance outcome data should be collected for the target population children who are receiving mental health services from organizational providers (regardless of whether they are also receiving services from individual or group providers).

• What date do you base the annual administration on (i.e., the actual intake date, the date the instrument(s) were completed, etc.)?

The annual administration may be based upon either the client's initial intake date for services with the county OR an annual administration date (based on the date when the instruments were first administered). It is more critical that the first administration of instruments be as early as possible during the intake period to effectively measure change over the year.

• What if an annual administration of the instruments has been recently completed for a client who is now being discharged? Is another administration of the instruments required for this client?

Since the *CAFAS* is based upon the client's functioning over the previous three months, whereas the *CBCL* and *YSR* examine the prior six months, readministration of the specific instrument depends upon the time period between dates.

If the discharge date is:

a. Within 3 months of the date of the annual administration of the instruments, then only the *CLEP* would need to be readministered. The scores from the recent

- annual administration of the CBCL, YSR, CAFAS, and CSQ-8 would also serve as the scores for the discharge instruments.
- b. Over 3 months (but less than 6 months) from the date of the annual administration of the instruments, then the *CAFAS*, *CLEP*, and *CSQ*-8 instruments must be readministered. The scores from the recent annual administration of the *CBCL* and *YSR* would also serve as the scores for the discharge instruments.
- c. Over 6 months from the date of the annual administration of the instruments, then all of the instruments (*CBCL*, *YSR*, *CAFAS*, *CSQ*-8, & *CLEP*) must be readministered.
- What if a discharge administration of the instruments has been recently completed for a client who is now undergoing another intake episode? Is another administration of the instruments required for this client?

The same protocol would apply as discussed above for the annual/discharge administration. Since the *CAFAS* is based upon the client's functioning over the previous three months, whereas the *CBCL* and *YSR* examine the prior six months, readministration of the specific instrument depends upon the time period between dates.

If the new intake date is:

- a. Within 3 months of the date of the discharge administration of the instruments, only the *CLEP* would need to be readministered. The scores from the prior discharge administration of the *CBCL*, *YSR*, and *CAFAS* would also serve as the new scores for the intake.
- b. Over 3 months (but less than 6 months) from the date of the discharge administration of the instruments, then the *CAFAS* and *CLEP* instruments must be readministered. The scores from the recent discharge administration of the *CBCL* and *YSR* would also serve as the scores for the intake.
- c. Over 6 months from the date of the discharge administration of the instruments, then all of the instruments (*CBCL*, *YSR*, *CAFAS*, & *CLEP*) must be readministered.
- What happens if a client turns 18, would the annual administration need to be completed around the 18th birthday or should administration occur at the normal annual review date?

Either method would be acceptable. If the client is discharged from the children's system upon their 18th birthday, a similar protocol would apply as was discussed above for the annual/discharge administration. Since the *CAFAS* is based upon the client's functioning over the previous three months, whereas the *CBCL* and *YSR* examine the prior six months, readministration of the specific instrument depends upon the time period between dates.

For those client's discharged upon turning 18, if the client's 18th birthday is:

- a. Within 3 months of the date of the prior administration of the instruments, only the *CLEP* would need to be readministered. The scores from the prior administration of the *CBCL*, *YSR*, *CAFAS*, and *CSQ*-8 would also serve as the new scores for the discharge.
- b. Over 3 months (but less than 6 months) from the date of the prior administration of the instruments, then the *CAFAS*, *CLEP*, and *CSQ-8* instruments must be readministered. The scores from the recent administration of the *CBCL* and *YSR* would also serve as the scores for the discharge.
- c. Over 6 months from the date of the prior administration of the instruments, then all of the instruments (*CBCL*, *YSR*, *CAFAS*, *CSQ*-8, & *CLEP*) must be readministered.
- A client is being treated by interagency/multiple treatment programs within a county. What options does a county have regarding administration of the instruments?

Minimally, the county should coordinate the treatment of the client so that an annual administration and a discharge set of the instruments is being completed at the designated times. A county may choose to require additional administrations of the instruments for its own internal purposes; however, these data will not be analyzed at the state level. Some counties have suggested that a client "passport" be established to track the client across the various agencies/treatment programs. Thus, each agency could receive copies of the completed instruments and scored profiles. The transfer of the data should, of course, be conducted in a manner that protects the confidentiality of the client.

Additionally, some counties are interested in tracking the effect of individual treatment programs by administering the instruments at the intake and discharge to and from each treatment program modality. This would require, however, that entry and discharge into each program be conducted in a very sequential manner and that various services are not occurring simultaneously. Some county staff has expressed concerns about trying to analyze the effects of specific treatments due to the difficulty of isolating programs and other external influences. Counties choosing to conduct such studies could examine their data and determine whether this approach has further merit and share their conclusions and recommendations with DMH to disseminate the information to other interested counties.

• If a school has previously administered the Child Behavior Checklist (*CBCL*), Youth Self-Report (*YSR*), and/or other of the required performance outcome instruments, does the county have to readminister the instruments when the child is admitted (or readmitted) for services into county mental health or for the annual review?

The DMH recommends interagency collaboration and the elimination of duplication in efforts whenever possible. The county would not need to readminister the instruments if it could obtain copies from the school district and each instrument was administered within the appropriate time period (as specified below). The transfer of the data should, of course, be conducted in a manner that protects the confidentiality of the client.

If the school's administration date was:

- a. Within 3 months of the current administration due date, then only the Client Living Environments Profile (*CLEP*) would need to be readministered. The scores from a recent administration of the *CBCL*, *YSR*, Child & Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (*CAFAS*), and/or Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (*CSQ*-8) could be used.
- b. Over 3 months (but less than 6 months) from the date of the current administration due date, then the *CAFAS*, *CLEP*, and *CSQ*-8 instruments must be readministered. The scores from the recent administration of the *CBCL* and *YSR* could be used.
- c. Over 6 months from the date of the current administration of the instruments, then all of the instruments (*CBCL*, *YSR*, *CAFAS*, *CSQ*-8, & *CLEP*) must be readministered.

Note: The *CSQ-8* is not administered at intake.

• For clients sent to Juvenile Hall, Medi-Cal funding for mental health services is limited by federal law. What is the policy regarding administration of the instruments for these clients?

For the Systems of Care counties, an interagency collaborative approach is the basis of services, and clients within the "system" definition are to be administered the instruments. For non-Systems of Care counties, clarity is needed regarding the overlap between mental health services and other agencies. For those clients receiving mental health services for 60 days or longer, regardless of what other agency programs or interventions are also occurring, instruments should be administered at the specified times (intake, annually and at discharge). The funds that would be available for administering the instruments to target population clients in Juvenile Hall would include the use of realignment funds or the use of SAMHSA Block Grant funds. In general, Medi-Cal funding for Juvenile Hall residents is only available when the child has been adjudicated and awaiting placement.

• What is the policy regarding administration of the instruments for clients in-group homes or foster home care?

For the Systems of Care counties, an interagency collaborative approach is the basis of services, and clients within the "system" definition are to be administered

the instruments. For non-Systems of Care counties, clarity is needed regarding the overlap between mental health services and other agencies. For those clients receiving mental health services for 60 days or longer, regardless of what other agency programs or interventions are also occurring, instruments should be administered at the specified times (intake, annually, and at discharge).

• Some counties are administering the instruments to transition age teens (18-21), and some are not. What is the policy regarding administration of the instruments to these clients?

After their 18th birthday, a client should be administered the adult instruments at the time of their next regular administration of the outcome measures. This policy is being advocated because it is important that instruments be used for the group defined by the author (i.e., the group for which the instruments were developed, normed, and validated). For example, the *CBCL* used in the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System was designed for ages 4-18, and the *YSR* was designed for ages 11-18. Administering these instruments to clients over 18 years of age may still provide some clinically useful data, but would not be appropriate for additional levels of analysis.

Billing for Instrument Administration, Scoring and Interpretation

• Is the time I spend administering and scoring the Performance Outcome instruments billable?

<u>Instrument administration and interpretation (clinician time)</u>. The time that a clinician spends assisting the client to complete the forms as well as the time spent reviewing the data resulting from the instruments is billable as part of the assessment under mental health services (for Medi-Cal eligible clients).

Data input, system management, and report generation (clerical time). Time spent by clerical staff or another non-clinical county staff person to assist a client in completing the instrument, enter the data, score the instruments, and print reports, may be billable in a variety of ways. For example, some portion of these services may be allocated as part of the client assessment costs for Medi-Cal eligible clients. However, billing for these services as part of the assessment may inflate a county's overall assessment rate causing it to exceed the Medi-Cal rate limit for assessments. These services may also be billable under quality improvement or utilization review billing codes on a dollar for dollar basis without impacting assessment rate limits.

Performance Outcomes and the Community Functioning Evaluation (CFE)

• Do the Child and Youth Performance Outcome instruments take the place of the Community Functioning Evaluation (CFE)?

The requirement to complete the CFE has been eliminated. Counties are no longer required by the State to complete the CFE. However, some counties have chosen to continue to use them.

• What if a client fails to complete the entire set of instruments? Is there a minimum number of instruments that must be completed in order to waive the requirement for the CFE?

After consulting with representatives from the California Mental Health Directors Association and the California Mental Health Planning Council, the DMH has agreed that, for children and youth, completion of the (*CAFAS* and *CLEP*) is sufficient to fulfill the requirement for waiving the CFE. The children and youth performance outcome instruments are intended to be completed for those target population clients who are ages 4 through 18. For clients 19 years of age and older, the DMH is using instruments that will take into account the specific and unique needs of adults.

Confidentiality Procedures and Issues

• What kind of disclosure should be provided to the client regarding the Performance Outcome information: What is collected, how it will be used, and who will have access to it?

Each county seems to be handling this issue in its own way. It is a good idea to introduce the Performance Outcome instruments to the client and explain exactly what they are intended to do. First, the instruments are a part of the assessment process. They help the clinician gain valuable insight into the client's life and functioning and will assist the clinician in learning how best to work with the client and plan their treatment. Second, it is good for the client to understand that the information will also be used, along with the responses of the rest of the county's child clients with serious mental illnesses, to identify ways that services can be improved. Finally, the client should understand that the data will be reported to the State DMH which will use it to communicate to the State Legislature how effective county mental health programs are in helping clients improve. Clients are very likely to embrace the idea if they clearly understand the

goals and benefits of the Performance Outcome system.

• If a client expresses concern about how confidential their responses are, what should I tell them?

The information that they provide on the instruments is maintained in the client's file, which already has certain protections for confidentiality. The data that are reported to the state for Performance Outcomes does not contain client names or addresses, but only demographic data and certain identifiers that will allow the outcome information to be linked to cost and service utilization data. At the county level, the outcome data are as secure as the billing and other service data that are maintained for the client. When it is reported to the state DMH, the information is encrypted in a manner that would make it extremely difficult for anyone to ever be able to read it without the appropriate password. At the state level, the data are maintained in secure computer systems with very limited access. Nobody from outside the department could get access to the data without first going through proper channels. Even then, identifying information would be stripped out so that the client's confidentiality would be protected.

• I notice that one of the pieces of information that is being requested is the client's social security number. Some clients and clinicians may feel uncomfortable reporting it. Why do you need it?

In the best of all worlds, we would not be asking for social security number. Instead, we would simply rely on the client's county case number and the county code for the county where the services were provided in order to be able to link the outcome data with cost and service utilization data. However, DMH (as well as many other organizations) has found that there are often problems with linking files based only on client case number and county code. Some of these are as follows:

- When a client begins receiving services from a county provider, he or she receives a county case number. If the client discharges from that provider and begins being seen by another provider, he or she often receives a different case number. The problem, then, is that in a data base there would be two case numbers and both of them refer to a single individual. The only way that we could know this would be if we had a third identifier that was unique to the client. This is why we are requesting the client's social security number. The client's social security number is already reported to the DMH's Client Services Information System (CSI) for use in the same way.
- Another problem occurs when a client's case number was simply entered
 incorrectly at the county before the Performance Outcome data are reported to
 the State. Performance outcome staff will only discover the problem when

they try to link responses on the Performance Outcome instruments to a client's service information. At that point, using the client's social security number, gender, ethnicity, and other information will be important for tracking down the correct client case number.

It must be emphasized that the client can request that his or her social security number not be included with their Performance Outcome data. It is not one of the fields that DMH is absolutely requiring in order to accept Performance Outcome data. It will only help us ensure that the data used are correct and that interpretations are valid.

 What if a client refuses to complete the Children and Youth Performance Outcome instruments?

It is not a requirement that a client complete the outcome instruments in order to receive services. It is their right to refuse to complete the instruments. Should a client refuse to complete the instruments, the refusal must be documented in the file. Some counties simply write across the front page of each instrument that was refused the words "CLIENT REFUSED."

It has been reported to DMH, however, that clients rarely refuse to complete the instruments, at least at intake. The greatest predictor of whether or not a client is willing to complete the instruments appears to be related to clinician attitude. If a clinician presents the instruments to a client in a manner that communicates that he of she feels it is a waste of time, the client will pick up on this. However, if the clinician communicates that he or she needs the information that the instruments provide in order to provide the most effective services AND that the information will be helpful to the county mental health program to improve its services, demonstrate its effectiveness, and thereby possibly increase its funding so it could provide additional services, the client is much more likely to participate.

• The CSQ-8 collects information regarding how the client feels about the services he or she is receiving. What should they be told about the confidentiality of their responses and how their responses will be used?

The CSQ-8 Survey is unique among the children and youth performance outcome instruments. While the treating clinician will have access to the other instruments that the client completed, including scored profiles and graphs, this is not the case with the CSQ-8 Survey.

Because a client may feel that, in providing honest ratings on perceptions of care, he or she may be punished in some way or suffer retribution from a clinician or service provider who feels offended, a client's individual responses on the CSQ-8 should NEVER be provided to clinicians. Instead, clinicians must only receive

aggregate responses that combine all of his or her clients. This will allow a clinician to see how their clients are perceiving the care they are receiving but will be unable to identify any single client. Thus, a client's responses will be kept confidential.

• I notice that DMH is collecting the client's case number on the CSQ-8. Wouldn't it be better not to include it so that clients would know that nobody could identify their specific responses?

Originally, the plan was to follow the lead of the Children's Performance Outcome System and give counties the option of either 1) including the client's case number so that DMH performance outcome staff could collect the appropriate demographic and service information from other systems or 2) not include the client's case number and instead provide the client's gender, age, ethnicity, and method of administration. However, the California Mental Health Planning Council (CMHPC), a group that is made up of over 50% direct consumers and family members as well as provider and county representatives and state-level staff, formally recommended that client case numbers be collected on the CSQ-8. The DMH forwarded this request to the California Mental Health Director's Association, which voted to accept the CMHPC's recommendation.

The reason that the CMHPC requested the inclusion of client case numbers on the CSQ-8 is because this instrument collects far more than simple information on satisfaction with services. It also collects information on the client's perception of access to services, the appropriateness of services received, and the client's perception of the outcomes of those services. The CMHPC believes (and the DMH concurs) that having the ability to link this information with the client's actual outcome data as well as other system level data such as cost of services and service utilization patterns is critical to fully understanding the outcomes of California's public mental health system.

• I am from a small county with very few clients. Even if I provide a clinician with aggregate scores on the CSQ-8 for his or her clients, the clinician will probably be able to identify the individual respondents. How should I handle this?

This is a really good question and raises an important point. In small counties or in programs where a particular sub-group of individuals is very small, a simple average of scores for groups is not appropriate. For example, if a county or program has only one African American client and average scores by ethnicity are provided to clinicians, the scores of the African American will be obvious. The same could be true with low numbers for gender, age, or diagnostic category. In such situations, the aggregation of data must be expanded. In the worst case

scenario, data might be reported by "Whites" and "Non-Whites" or perhaps "Schizophrenia" and "All other disorders." The key is to use common sense.

• Can you describe some of the administration procedures that counties have used to administer the CSQ-8 Survey so that client confidentiality is ensured?

One important procedure that many counties follow is to provide clients with a written statement (and possibly read it with them) that explains that their responses to the CSQ-8 will be kept confidential. The client should be clear that his or her responses will not be directly shared with his or her clinician. The statement should note that their responses will only be used to evaluate and improve the services they are receiving and will in no way affect the availability of services or their own access to services.

Services Provided in a Clinic

There are several ways that counties have administered the CSQ-8 in the clinic setting. These include:

- Before the client sees his or her clinician, a clerical staff person fills in the required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link date) and then hands the CSQ-8 to the client for completion along with an envelope in which to seal the survey. Upon completing the survey and sealing it in an envelope, the consumer drops the envelope in a locked box. Later, the surveys are retrieved and the data entered. It appears that this is the most effective way to collect this information and ensure a high return rate and the most representative sample for the CSQ-8.
- A clerical staff person fills in the required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link date) on the CSQ-8 and provides it to a clinician sometime before the client is to be seen. After the client has finished a session, the clinician hands the CSQ-8 to the client and asks him or her to complete it before leaving the clinic and drop it in the locked box in the lobby. Some argue that this makes the clinician too much a part of the process and could cause some clients to distrust that their responses will be kept confidential.
- A clerical staff person fills in the required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link date) on the CSQ-8 and provides it to a clinician along with a self-addressed stamped envelope sometime before the client is to be seen. After the client has finished a session, the clinician hands the CSQ-8 to the client and asks him or her to complete it and drop it in the mail later. Some counties have expressed that they have found that clients

tend not to return surveys through the mail. Responses could also be biased in that only individuals who are either very satisfied or not satisfied at all might respond.

• A clerical staff person fills in the required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link date) on the CSQ-8 and mails it to the client along with a cover letter and self-addressed stamped envelope about the time the client is scheduled for an annual case review. Some counties have tried this method and found that clients tend not to return surveys through the mail. Also, responses could be biased in that only individuals who are either very satisfied or not satisfied at all might respond.

Services Provided in the Home

- Prior to a clinician making a home visit, a clerical staff person fills in the required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link date) on the CSQ-8 and provides it to the clinician along with an envelope in which to seal the survey once it is complete. Before beginning the session, the clinician asks the client to complete the CSQ-8 while the clinician occupies him or herself doing other things (paperwork, etc.). Upon completion of the CSQ-8, the clinician asks the client to seal the CSQ-8 in the envelope (some have even suggested asking the client to sign across the sealed portion as a guard against tampering). The clinician collects the sealed envelope and, after the session is complete, drops it in a locked box back at the clinic. This method, similar to one of the methods used in a clinic setting, is perhaps the best for ensuring a high return rate and the most valid sample.
- Prior to a clinician making a home visit, a clerical staff person fills in the required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link date) on the CSQ-8 and provides it to the clinician along with a self-addressed stamped envelope in which to seal the survey once it is complete. Before beginning the session, the clinician asks the client to complete the CSQ-8 while the clinician occupies him or herself doing other things (paperwork, etc.). Upon completion of the CSQ-8, the clinician asks the client to seal the CSQ-8 in the envelope (some have even suggested asking the client to sign across the sealed portion as a guard against tampering). The client is then asked to place the envelope in the mail where it will be returned to county administration for data entry and analysis.
- Prior to a clinician making a home visit, a clerical staff person fills in the
 required identification information (e.g., client case number, county code, link
 date) on the CSQ-8 and provides it to the clinician along with a self addressed
 stamped envelope in which to seal the survey once it is complete. After the
 home visit is concluded, the CSQ-8 and envelope are provided to the client

and the client is asked to complete the survey at their convenience and place it in the mail. According to county staff, this is not a very effective way to ensure a high return rate and the sample is likely to be biased.

Issues for Small Counties Reporting Data Through DMH's TELEform System

• I understand that DMH has implemented a TELE form, fax-based system that some counties use to report their Performance Outcome data. Is my county eligible for this?

Counties whose total population is 50,000 or less are eligible to use the DMH TELE form fax-based system. In general these counties know who they are. If you have questions about whether or not your county is eligible, you may contact Roxane Gomez at (916) 654-0471.

• How does the DMH TELE form system work?

After the Child and Youth Performance Outcome instruments have been completed, the forms are faxed to DMH at a special phone number. The forms are then read into a computer program that automatically converts the survey responses into an electronic format. For those counties eligible to use the DMH system, faxing in forms in this manner fulfills their Performance Outcome data reporting requirements. DMH will send counties the CBCL and YSR scored profile reports. If desired, TELE form counties may either request a diskette with their county's Performance Outcome data or they may download the data from the secure DMH Information Technology Web Services (ITWS) website.

• Are there any special things I need to do if we are going to use the DMH TELE form system?

Yes. It is very important that you do the following

- 1. Make sure your fax machine is properly maintained. This includes cleaning it regularly.
- 2. Make sure that the fax machine is set to *high resolution*. This will ensure that the most quality image is sent to DMH and will greatly improve the efficiency of our automated reading system.
- 3. You do not need to have clients use a #2 pencil to complete the forms. Actually, we have found that ink, especially black ink is the best writing instrument to use to fill in the bubbles on the survey forms.

4. Before faxing the forms to DMH, someone should review the pages of the surveys to check for overall quality. If parts of the forms are not complete, the forms should be returned to the clinician to try and assist the client to finish the forms.

Reporting Performance Outcome Data to the State Department of Mental Health

• How does the Performance Outcome data get reported to the State?

Regardless of the data system you use at the county level to maintain your Performance Outcome data, when you export the data to report to the state, you must ensure that it is formatted according to the file structure identified in the Children and Youth Performance Outcome System Data Dictionary. This is very important. If the data you report is not in this format, it will be returned to the county for correction. Should you require a copy of the data dictionary, contact Roxane Gomez at (916) 654-0471.

The file format required by the state is an ASCII fixed field format. Before the files are sent to the state, they are to be zipped up and encrypted with a password that will be provided to your county by State DMH Information Technology staff. Next, the files will be uploaded to DMH using the Department's Information Technology Web Site (ITWS). For more information regarding the data uploading procedure, contact Loren Rubenstein, Information Technology at (916) 654-6249.

How frequently does the data get reported to DMH?

Our ultimate goal is to have data that are current enough that DMH Performance Outcome staff are able to provide reports to county that are timely and informative. To do this, DMH needs to have data that are relatively current. For the Child and Youth Performance Outcome System, data reporting is required every six months.

• How will DMH release the data?

The DMH will not release an individual county's data to others until the county has first had a chance to review it for accuracy and to provide additional interpretation. Data will be sent to the county mental health director and a copy to the county's Children and Youth Program Coordinator with a request for comments on accuracy, etc. The initial reports sent out will show regional and statewide averages, with each county getting a copy of its own individual results.

Technology Issues

• What technology should my county invest in to handle our Performance Outcome data?

It is not appropriate for the State Department of Mental Health to recommend any single software vendor to counties as a source for technology to handle their data. This is because it could lead to accusations that the DMH is favoring one software package over another. Therefore, Performance Outcome staff have simply tried to pass along information from vendors that have contacted them or about systems that individual counties have purchased.

• Have any alternative systems been identified that counties can consider and how can I find out more about them?

Yes. A number of alternatives have presented themselves. They are not the only ones that should be considered and no one system is appropriate for all counties. Some of these systems, along with how to contact their vendors, are listed below.

HCIA /Response Technology

Includes screens for manual data entry, an electronic card scanner for automated data entry, generates graphs and charts for clinicians, generates system level reports for each instrument for use in decision support, exports data in a format compliant with the Children and Youth Performance Outcome Data Dictionary for easy reporting to the DMH. For information regarding this product, contact Deborah Rearick at (781) 522-4630 or e-mail her at drear@hcia.com

• TELEform

TELEform is not a data management system. Instead, it is a way of automating data entry. It allows a person to use an actual scanner or a standard fax machine as a scanner. Essentially, the way counties (as well as DMH Performance Outcome staff) have used TELEform is to have a clinician or clerical staff person fax or scan completed outcome instruments to a central computer that has the TELEform program loaded on it. When the fax/scan arrives on the computer, TELEform reads it and converts the data into an electronic format and exports it into a specified database. This database could be in Microsoft Access, FoxPro, Excel, SPSS, or a wide variety of other formats. If the user has adequate technical sophistication, TELEform can be set up to score the instruments and fax back reports to the clinician that sent the original fax for use in treatment planning.

For information regarding this product, contact Cardiff Software at (800) 659-8755 or e-mail at support@cardiffsw.com

IVR

Interactive Voice Response (IVR) is an automated telephone system for administering questionnaires. For information regarding this product, contact Dr. Benjamin Brodey, Director of Research at Medassure IVR at (206) 917-5076 or e-mail at brodey@medassure.net

• EFI

EVAL-FLEX, Inc. (EFI) uses touchscreen technology, the Internet, and Interactive Voice Response systems for both client self-administered information and for staff input of client relevant data. For information regarding this product, contact Dr. Michael McGuire, President and CEO of EFI at (818) 808-1390 or e-mail at information@evalflex.com.